

45

46

# **Town of Southern Shores**

5375 N. Virginia Dare Trail, Southern Shores, NC 27949 Phone 252-261-2394 / Fax 252-255-0876 www.southernshores-nc.gov

8	
9	MEETING MINUTES
10	PLANNING BOARD-FEBRUARY 22, 2023, 5:00 P.M.
11	LOCATION: PITTS CENTER-5377 N VIRGINIA DARE TRAIL, SOUTHERN SHORES, NC 27949
12	
13	
14	CALL TO ORDER:
15	Chairperson Andy Ward called the meeting to order at 5:00 pm. Planning Board Members Ed
16	Lawler, Robert McClendon, Jan Collins, Tony DiBernardo (Vice Chairperson), Andy Ward
17	(Chairperson), and Deputy Town Manager/Planning Director Wes Haskett were present.
18	
19	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:
20	Chairperson Ward led the Pledge of Allegiance.
21	
22	APPROVAL OF AGENDA:
23	Motion made by Vice Chairperson DiBernardo to approve the agenda as presented, Seconded by
24	Chairperson Ward. The motion passed unanimously (5-0).
25	
26	APPROVAL OF MINUTES – DECEMBER 1, 2022
27	Chairperson Ward moved to approve the minutes as corrected, Seconded by Vice Chairperson
28	DiBernardo. The motion passed unanimously (5-0).
29	DUDUIC COMMENT
30 31	PUBLIC COMMENT NONE
32	NONE
33	OLD BUSINESS
34	DISCUSSION OF POTENTIAL COMMERCIAL DESIGN STANDARDS
35	Chairperson Ward referenced the list of potential commercial design standards previously
36	discussed and asked the board members if they had any additions.
37	• Require a sketch plan review.
38	<ul> <li>Don't allow bright colors and allow earth tone and neutral colors.</li> </ul>
39	o Limit color schemes to two.
10	<ul> <li>Screen utilities, HVAC units, and solid waste receptacles.</li> </ul>
41	Buildings should have a residential appearance.
12	<ul> <li>Requirements should apply to renovations.</li> </ul>
13	<ul> <li>Glazing of storefronts.</li> </ul>

• Require covered walkways for multitenant buildings/group developments.

• Require 8 ft. wide covered walkways for group developments.

o Duck has 2/3 requirement.

- Require breaks in façades and rooflines.
- - Decrease the number of required parking spaces to allow for more landscaping.
  - Add space requirement for open space/landscaping.

49 50

51 52

Vice Chairperson DiBernardo mentioned that certain stores will have a predetermined façade that will need to be taken into consideration.

53 54

Planning Board Member Lawler stated the business will either have to conform to the commercial standards or find someplace else.

55 56 57

58

Planning Board Member Collins stated a sketch plan will be required in the beginning which would lay out the colors they will use, the overhangs, and the utilities and then we can bring up the points that do not fall into the requirements.

59 60 61

62

Vice Chairperson DiBernardo stated it will be difficult because most stores will not fit into these standards and questioned if the stores would be required to fit all the potential standards. He asked the Planning Director if there were any vacant commercial properties on Highway 158.

63 64 65

Planning Director Haskett mentioned one property owned by the Stone family and one property owned by SAGA.

66 67 68

Vice Chairperson DiBernardo stated he would not be surprised if Royal Farms would inquire about the area. Planning Director Haskett stated gas stations are a prohibited use.

69 70 71

Chairperson Ward inquired as to the procedure Taco Bell had to take when applying for the building permit.

72 73

Planning Director Haskett stated Taco Bell submitted building plans, and elevation sheets.

74 75 76

Chairperson Ward recommended a standard that would eliminate bright color schemes, such as the current Taco Bell.

77 78 79

Planning Board Member Collins stated other businesses, such as McDonald's, have conformed to color requirements in other areas.

80 81 82

Planning Director Haskett stated some standards may just say avoid bright colors but not specifically hold them to a particular color scheme.

83 84

Planning Board Member Collins recommended colors such as earth tones could be listed.

85 86 87

88

Planning Director Haskett stated staff is asking for the board's input before a ZTA is drawn up and then reviewed by the town attorney. The board can include a color scheme or a list for guidance.

89 90 91

92

Chairperson Ward recommended an addendum/cover page to the ZTA that would highlight which municipality each standard came from as a reference for the board members to follow.

93 The ZTA will need to be "buttoned up" but the addendum would provide where the idea 94 originated. The board was in favor of a reference guide. 95 96 Planning Board Member McClendon inquired if a sketch plan review was required? Planning 97 Director Haskett stated it currently is voluntary. 98 99 Chairperson Ward had the Planning Board members review each item currently on the potential 100 standards list. 101 102 Planning Board Member McClendon stated the first item listed requires a sketch plan review. 103 This needs to be specified, naming the Planning Board as who would be receiving that 104 information for review. 105 106 Chairperson Ward asked the Board Members to provide input on color requirements. 107 108 Planning Board Member McClendon asked what exactly is meant by limited color schemes to 109 two as colors. 110 111 The board agreed that colors should be consistent and cohesive with others in the same 112 development and should be earth tone or neutral in nature. 113 114 Planning Board Member McClendon then stated this would be easy if the town was new and 115 branding itself, but most businesses are already established and use the same colors they have 116 been using. 117 118 Chairperson Ward recommended limiting the color scheme to two colors on the main building. 119 trim being separate. 120 121 Planning Board Member McClendon stated limiting the number of colors makes more sense. 122 123 Planning Board Member Collins recommended leaving earthtones or neutrals in the color 124 description and that paint colors be part of the sketch plan review conducted by the Planning Board. She stated it is like the homeowner's association requirement. 125 126 127 Planning Director Haskett stated he will remove the word "two" from the color scheme requirement and list it as limit colors to earthtones or neutrals. 128 129 130 Chairperson Ward moved down the standards list highlighting the screening utilities were pretty 131 cut and dry. 132 133 Planning Board Member McClendon recommended not allowing white plastic fences as a wood 134 fence blends into the neighborhood better. He stated with residential homes, everyone has their 135 own taste, but this could be required for commercial. 136 137 The board agreed to require the fence be plain wood or match the building structure.

- 139 Chairperson Ward moved to the next item; the building should have a residential appearance.
- He stated Southern Shores Crossing has done a good job.

- 142 Planning Board Member Lawler stated "that doesn't lend itself to big box stores".
- 143 Planning Board Member Collins commented do we want big box stores. She recommended
- limiting the store size to 10,000 square feet if that is the desire of the board.

145

146 Chairperson Ward stated that would eliminate Food Lion.

147

Planning Board Member Lawler agreed that size requirement would eliminate any other potential grocery store. A large store such as a grocery store typically anchors a commercial group of stores.

151

Planning Director Haskett stated typically the commercial sites are a tenant upfit, the tenant changes the interior only.

154

Planning Board Member McClendon understood the requirements for breaks in the façade and roofline but requiring a residential appearance he did not understand. Nags Head has a brand of old cottages replicated but the only one Southern Shores has is flattops. He wasn't sure that it could be regulated into a commercial design standard.

159

160 Chairperson Ward stated TowneBank has a residential look, a look of a big stately house.

161

Planning Director Haskett stated requiring the use of gable bars or gable brackets could accentuate a residential look.

164

5 Planning Board Member Collins suggested limiting the size of the windows.

165166

167 Chairperson Ward highlighted Duck's requirements of breaks in the roof line every 50 feet, 50
168 feet of the horizontal distance should be windows at street level constitute 20-50% of the front
169 façade. He stated that is a good footnote from Duck, and we could use similar language with
170 crafting the ZTA. Just stating building should have a residential appearance in a ZTA would not
171 work.

172

173 Planning Director Haskett stated the residential look was just capturing the previous discussions.

174175

Planning Director Haskett asked the board to clarify the potential standard bullet that states requirements should apply to renovations. He felt that this would only apply to free standing structures.

177178179

180

176

Planning Board Member Collins stated only if the renovations apply to the outside and then they would be required to submit a sketch plan. If they are not changing the exterior, then it would not apply.

181 182

Planning Director Haskett asked if there was a dollar amount figure that would trigger the requirement?

186 Planning Board Member Collins mentioned the exterior of a business being repainted. Planning 187 Director Haskett stated a permit is not required to paint a building and staff would not be aware 188 of the paint color change until after the fact.

189 190

Planning Director Haskett stated staff will investigate addressing the repainting requirements and try and incorporate something in the ZTA addressing this item.

191 192 193

Chairperson Ward commented that it gets tricky when a covenant bleeds over to an ordinance.

194

Chairperson Ward reviewed highpoints from the Town of Duck's requirements:

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

- - Awning width ratio to the sidewalk.
  - Additions and new construction shall use compatible finishes.
  - Break in the wall roof at least every 50 feet
  - 50 percent of the horizontal distance of any building front shall be designed with arcades. windows, entrances, awnings, architectural elements including but not limited to pilasters, wall control joints, building appendages, screen walls or changes in parapet wall heights.
  - Windows at street level constitute 20-50 percent of the façade.

203 204 205

206

Chairperson Ward reviewed examples of commercial businesses (pictures) with the board members, some businesses visually appealing and others not. He highlighted the standards that were set by other towns and how they looked when applied to a commercial building.

207 208 209

Chairperson Ward recommended windows shall comprise not less than 10 percent and not more than 40 percent of each building's vertical wall area. The board agreed.

210 211 212

Chairperson Ward recommended the covered walkways 2/3 requirement as used in Duck's standards. The board agreed.

213 214

215

Chairperson Ward inquired with the board members their thoughts on a requirement of 8 ft. covered walkways for group developments.

216 217

218

219

Planning Board Member Collins stated SAGA said they were going to have covered walkways in their proposed mixed-use group development.

220 221

Chairperson Ward recommended adding *mixed use* to the 8 ft. covered walkways requirement. The board agreed.

222 223 224

225

226

Planning Board Member McClendon asked if there were standards that the board would not want in a mixed-use group development. Chairperson Ward stated some of the elements may not apply to mixed use group developments and left those to the discretion of Planning Director Haskett and staff to consider when developing the ZTA.

227 228 229

Chairperson Ward reviewed some pictures of breaks in the shopping center exterior walls, and recommended breaks in the façade and roof lines. The board agreed.

231

232 Chairperson Ward inquired about decreasing parking spaces, possibly credit for landscaping islands.

Planning Board Member Lawler stated the parking lots are the ugliest part about the shopping center.

Planning Board Member Collins suggested rather than saying decreasing parking spaces for more landscaping, say for so many feet of parking area you are required to have a specific amount of landscaping area, essentially breaking up the look of the parking lot.

Planning Director Haskett stated that staff could look to incorporate a formula into the ZTA.

Vice Chairperson DiBernardo suggested picking a flat percentage amount for required landscaping in a parking area, give credit towards lot coverage by utilizing the landscaping percentage.

Chairperson Ward suggested adding a roof pitch requirement. He suggested putting a minimum requirement. Planning Board Member McClendon asked what about a flat top? The board members were not in agreement.

Planning Board Member McClendon suggested if the roof is visible then require a roof pitch (anything but a flat top). The board agreed.

Planning Board Member Collins again mentioned limiting the size of a freestanding building. Chairperson Ward was hesitant.

Planning Director Haskett stated staff can work on a ZTA and the board can revisit limiting size when the draft is ready for review.

Planning Board Member McClendon asked if a non-elected board would have discretion with the sketch plan; if a highly desirable store was larger than the max allowance would this board be allowed to approve it, or would the owner need to go through the variance process? He further stated that he did not have an issue with placing the restriction, but times change and there needs to be a process to work with or change that requirement.

Planning Director Haskett stated most likely the board could disapprove an applicant's sketch plan, but they could still move forward to Council for consideration. He also stated that he did not think the other municipalities had size requirements, but they had different requirements per size categories.

Planning Board Member Collins didn't think there was a large area in Southern Shores that would take a big business. The board agreed to limit individual business sizes but wanted to research some business sizes.

Planning Board Member Lawler inquired about flipping businesses forward and parking areas to the rear of the building. Planning Director Haskett stated that is common practice now.

Planning Director Haskett stated he has received enough information to get a draft ZTA together and will send it to the town attorney to make sure we have the authority to make some of these suggestions and will bring it to the board when it is ready.

282 283

284

285

287

288

Planning Board Member Lawler asked if it was too late to impose the parking lot placement in the rear of the buildings to the SAGA project. Planning Director Haskett stated it would need to be in effect when SAGA applies.

286

#### **NEW BUSINESS**

# DISCUSSION OF ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR ACCESSORY STRUCTURES THAT CONTAIN LIVING SPACE

289290291

292

Planning Board Member Lawler asked if accessory structures could be limited to one bedroom. Planning Director Haskett answered, maybe.

293

Chairperson Ward reviewed pictures of accessory structures currently in town.

294295296

297

298

## 144 Beech Tree Trail-one-bedroom tiny house

- Permitted as no cooking (no stove/oven allowed)
- permitted as an accessory structure-office/studio 24 x 18 heated living space.
- 482 sq. feet

299300301

Chairperson Ward stated the structure is close to the side setback and close to the front of the property.

302303

304

305

Planning Board Member Collins asked if it was tied into the current septic system. Planning Director Haskett stated he would have to check.

306 307

Chairperson Ward stated they can either have a bedroom and no stove/oven or a stove/oven and no bedroom. Either way there are ways around it if people choose to go that route.

308 309 310

Vice Chairperson DiBernardo stated he voted against this ordinance when it originally came up, it is so easy to get around it.

311312313

Chairperson Ward stated Airbnb is here to stay and he does not have an issue with Airbnb's, but we need to work toward getting these structures closer to the primary house and attached.

314315

316

317

318

Vice Chairperson DiBernardo commented that covenants in certain areas state you must have the accessory structure attached to the house by walkway or some means, but that is a covenant. It is just a tool that can be used, and it is a shame covenants and ordinances cannot work together.

319320

321

Planning Board Member Collins asked what is the objective; to regulate, ban or figure out how they can work within the confines of our development?

322323324

325

Chairperson Ward stated there is a lot of land in Southern Shores and if the structures could be placed up by the primary home it would look aesthetically better for the community.

328

329

# 327 54 Ocean Blvd.

- Attached to primary structure.
- Accessory structure with living space above, no stove/oven allowed.
- Living space (988 sq. feet)

330331332

Planning Board Member Collins referenced the structure on Ocean Blvd., she would classify it as an ADU and looks like an attached garage.

333334335

336

### 110 Ocean Blvd.

- 3 car garage
- Bedroom, laundry room, bathroom, kitchen but no stove/oven.

337338339

Planning Board Member Lawler felt the accessory structures should be attached to the main house. Chairperson Ward reiterated this.

340341342

Chairperson Ward showed the accessory structure picture from 54 Ocean Blvd. that is attached to the primary home, it is cohesive.

343344

345

Planning Board Member McClendon asked if there is a distance requirement? Chairperson Ward stated that needs to be discussed but it needs to have a substantial deck.

346347

Chairperson Ward said some type of criteria needs to be discussed.

348349350

351

Planning Board Member Collins stated ADU's are a big thing, and a lot of states support them and the ability to house family and seniors. She did not know if Southern Shores could differentiate or stipulate who the property owner is or if they rent it.

352353354

355

356

Planning Board Member McClendon stated what the board passed last week was a requirement from the State of NC to meet their language, you can put a stove in there and all you have to do is say this is a den or a library. The town has no regulation on how you furnish that property. The town only has regulations on what you label it when you get your permit.

357358359

Chairperson Ward disagreed.

360361

Planning Director Haskett stated the health department determines if it is or is not a bedroom.

362363

Chairperson Ward stated the issue is aesthetic, do we want closer to the house, up next to the street, or in the middle of the lot.

364365366

Planning Board Member Lawler stated the closer to the house and attached is a self-limiting feature. It would reduce the number of people using it because it is right there next to the primary property owner.

368369370

367

Chairperson Ward stated he had no issue with accessory dwelling units, they just need to be in the proper context. He further stated we create ordinances all the time for aesthetics.

Planning Board Member McClendon stated he can understand that you would want the ADU to have a direct relationship to the primary structure in look, design, maybe a physical connection. It needs to be in direct relation to the primary structure, maybe 10 feet away or something similar. Planning Board Member Collins agreed.

Chairperson Ward was explained to the board that he inquired if you could have an accessory dwelling unit, a shed, and the primary structure all on the same lot. Planning Director Haskett answered you can have one principal structure and its associated customary accessory building (singular).

 Chairperson Ward then stated he believes the intent was one primary building, one free standing garage/ and or shed or one building and one accessory building. Town Code section 36-202 says customary accessory buildings (plural) and structures are a permitted use and include but not limited to swimming pools, tennis courts, and garages, provided no dwelling unit is in the accessory structure. He stated it all needs to be cleaned up and more consistent.

Planning Board Member McClendon referenced the example picture from 54 Ocean Blvd., and stated the example said that a dwelling unit had to have stove and bedroom.

Planning Director Haskett stated based on the new definition of dwelling unit it must have all of those things, if it doesn't have all those things, it is not a dwelling unit.

Planning Board Member McClendon replied that our code is technically correct at this point. You can't have a dwelling unit; you can have an Airbnb place where people can sleep and pay you to stay there but you can't have a true dwelling unit.

Chairperson Ward maintained that those four definitions need to be cleaned up and how they plug into the ordinance. He further stated why not allow an oven in the units.

Planning Director Haskett stated the current requirements were adopted to avoid increasing density.

Chairperson Ward stated the density is controlled by the septic capacity. Airbnb is here and is not going anywhere. He doesn't see how you are increasing density by adding an oven. He felt what was occurring in the ordinances needed to be modernized.

Chairperson Ward stated there is no registration process any longer with the Airbnb properties, the legislature restricted it. As a town we need to know a little bit about what is going on. Density is being increased with numerous Airbnb properties. Town staff and the town attorney will need to get creative. He read a section on development approvals for short-term rentals, which read as, based on state law and the Schrader case, local governments may not impose a registration requirement on short-term rental operations, moreover, regulations and standards that are inextricably linked to a registration requirement may be struck down but local governments still have authority to regulate short-term rentals through common development regulation and police power ordinances focused on public health and safety.

Chairperson Ward asked Panning Director Haskett one more time was the intent of the current wording not allowing stove/oven. Planning Director Haskett stated the intent was to allow accessory buildings with living space provided that it was not a dwelling unit so that it was consistent with our land use plan for low density, a low-density community. He further stated that it would be another hurdle if you do want to outright allow accessory dwelling units and increase the density, then we would have to amend our land use plan.

Chairperson Ward stated we are essentially allowing it, so why not allow them.

Planning Board Member McClendon stated Southern Shores would not be the first beach community to allow accessory dwelling units. He also said if we allow them and are going to try and regulate them then we need an attorney involved for guidance.

Planning Director Haskett stated the town attorney would be involved in drafting the ordinance.

Chairperson Ward stated if the accessory structure could be up next to the primary house and the lot size supports it, then he supports it. He did not have an issue allowing both a bedroom and a stove/oven in the same unit.

Planning Board Member Collins stated her issue is septic and the capacity to support both structures.

Chairperson Ward stated his issue is do we allow a stove/ovem and a bedroom and do away with the language that says either or. Planning Board Member McClendon supported this but was curious if there was any idea whether any council members would support it.

Chairperson Ward agreed to meet with staff and talk to the mayor to gauge her thoughts. He felt the ordinance needed to be modernized as times have changed.

Hearing no further comments, Chairperson Ward called for public comment.

PUBLIC COMMENT-

451 None.

### PLANNING BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS

454 None.

# **ANNOUNCEMENTS**

Planning Director Wes Haskett stated the next meeting will be held on Monday, March 20<sup>th</sup> at 5:00 pm. He also stated the Council voted to appoint Jan Collins as a regular member of the Planning Board.

#### **ADJOURN**

Hearing no further business, motion made by Vice Chairperson DiBernardo to adjourn,
 Seconded by Planning Board Member Lawler. Motion passed unanimously. The time was 7:11
 P.M.

ATTEST:

Respectfully submitted,

Respectfully submitted,